	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 08-13555(SCC)
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	
11	x
12	
13	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
14	One Bowling Green
15	New York, New York
16	
17	November 3, 2014
18	3:35 PM
19	
20	BEFORE:
21	HON SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN
22	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
23	
24	
25	

Page 2 Hearing re: Doc #19888 Status Conference on Motion for Omnibus Objection to Claims Re: Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims) Transcribed by: Dawn South

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	JONES DAY	
3	Attorneys for the Debtor	
4	222 East 41st Street	
5	New York, NY 10017-6702	
6		
7	BY: JAYANT W. TAMBE, ESQ.	
8	LAURI W. SWAYER, ESQ.	
9	JENNIFER L. DEL MEDICO, ESQ.	
10		
11	PACIFICA LAW GROUP	
12	Attorneys for TSA	
13	1191 2nd Avenue	
14	Suite 2100	
15	Seattle, WA 98101-2945	
16		
17	BY: KYMBERLY K. EVANSON, ESQ.	
18	PAUL J. LAWRENCE, ESQ.	
19	TAKI V. FLEVARIS, ESQ.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Okay. We have a lot to do today. Why 3 don't I start by getting your appearances. MR. TAMBE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jay 4 5 Tambe, Lauri Sawyer, and Jennifer Del Medico from Jones Day 6 for the debtors. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 8 MS. EVANSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 9 Kymberly Evanson, Paul Lawrence, and Taki Flevaris here for 10 the Washington State Tobacco Settlement Authority. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So first let me start with I understand that we 12 received a stipulation agreement and order regarding the 13 14 claims and the agreed reduction of the claim amounts, and 15 including an amendment of Lehman's -- or LBHI's objection, 16 right? 17 MR. TAMBE: That's right, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. The only question I have about that, and that's driven by the error with respect to the 19 20 termination date of 2042 as opposed to --21 MR. TAMBE: Correct, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: -- 2032, right? 23 MR. TAMBE: Yes. 24 THE COURT: So the only thing that I had a 25 question about was the reservation of rights for LBSF to

Page 5 1 file an adversary complaint. 2 MR. TAMBE: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah, I just don't understand what 3 that means. 4 5 MR. TAMBE: What that means is what will be 6 decided in this trial is the valuation of the RFA. 7 THE COURT: Right. MR. TAMBE: And Your Honor may decide it is some 8 9 number that is a claim or Your Honor may decide it's zero or 10 Your Honor may decide that the right valuation is 11 Mr. Gruer's (ph) valuation. If the Court concludes that it's Mr. Gruer's 12 13 valuation --14 THE COURT: I see. 15 MR. TAMBE: -- we will then file an adversary 16 complaint, we're still within time to do it, they've 17 reserved all of their rights to raise objections to 18 defenses. THE COURT: I understand. Okay. So it's not an 19 20 adversary that seek a do over of what we conclude here. 21 MR. TAMBE: That would not be our intention, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. TAMBE: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Just didn't understand that. Okay.

Page 6

So we'll enter that on the docket today.

The second thing that I wanted to clarify was the times that we're spending together. So tomorrow starting at 9:00 and I'm going to have a hard stop somewhere around 3:20 to enable we to go up to the District Court. So I'm going to largely leave it to you folks to tell me when you want to break for lunch, how long you want to break for lunch. I've got really good staying power much to the dismay of witnesses sometimes, so we have to not, you know, run the witnesses ragged, but I know you have an agreement eight hours each side. So tomorrow we have a half an hour each for opening argument, right?

MR. TAMBE: That's right.

THE COURT: And then I'm just going to leave it to you to figure out how long -- how many witnesses get put on and to monitor the timing. I'm not going to be keeping the chess clock, right?

MR. TAMBE: You're not. We'll have someone do that. I think it'll be good practice for us at the end of each day just to talk to each other and make sure we don't have a disagreement of something as fundamental as that.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. TAMBE: We shouldn't. We'll manage it.

THE COURT: I mean it -- but then to that point though just to be clear, I'm starting you at 9:00 tomorrow,

Page 7 1 but I hadn't intended to start at 9:00 on the other two 2 days. 3 MR. LAWRENCE: Correct. 4 MR. TAMBE: Yeah, I think --5 THE COURT: Is that --6 MR. TAMBE: Yeah, one of the issues is we might 7 ask for your indulgence on that point, because I think you 8 had said to us that it may be possible for you to start at 9 9:00, it's hard for you to go past 5:30. 10 THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. TAMBE: And if we're having -- if we're 12 stopping tomorrow at 3:20 we think certainly for the next 13 day, for Wednesday, we would like to start at 9:00. It may 14 be we make enough progress on Wednesday --15 THE COURT: So why don't we -- why don't we 16 remember to discuss it at the end of the day tomorrow. 17 MR. TAMBE: That'll be perfect. THE COURT: If I do have to start two of the three 18 days early I'd prefer it be the Wednesday rather than the 19 20 Thursday, and you know, 5:30 is a good place to stop. If we 21 need another 10, 15 minutes to finish up a witness I'll be 22 able to finesse that. 23 MR. TAMBE: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And you have breakout rooms 25 here? We've given you rooms.

Page 8

MR. TAMBE: We do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you know the drill, you'll allowed to bring in food, and during a trial I relax the no drinks rule. You can have coffee just as long as you don't spill and it clean up after yourselves. And you laugh, but people actually don't clean up after themselves. So okay. So those are all the preliminaries.

Why don't I -- why don't we start with the 30(b)(6) issue and then go into the motions in limine, which largely I'm prepared to tell you what my rulings are, but as to a few I have -- I have some questions.

So I spent a great deal time with your submissions on the 30(b)(6) issue. Always great to learn about an issue that you didn't know existed and to learn everything there is to learn about it.

And what I'm going to do both of the 30(b)(6) issue and all of the motions is I'm going to give you a ruling with as much color around it as I can, but everything will be incorporated into the decision on the merits so that your points for appeal are preserved, so that if any of you thinks on any of the points I've gotten this wrong you'll have my reasoning in the opinion. That's the way I -- that's just the way I do it, so. I don't have time to write perfect separate opinions on eight preliminary matters on the eve of trial.

Page 9

So on the 30(b)(6) witness issue based on a lot of time that I've spent with the cases, with the rule, secondary sources, et cetera, I think the right answer is as far as I'm concerned is that absolutely the gentleman can testify at trial, but only as to matters within his personal knowledge.

You can submit the transcript of the 30(b)(6) deposition that you took, and I believe that the exceptions to -- I mean the -- let's just step back a moment and pretend we're in law school, right?

I mean a 30(b)(6) witness is supposed to be the corporate representative who's supposed to get smart and educated on a bunch of topics. Then you go and take that deposition, right?

The 30(b)(6) concept as far as I'm concerned and based on what I've read, really doesn't have a place at trial, and if you have a 30(b) -- a so-called 30(b)(6) witness at trial that would override or have the effect of overriding the rules that preclude testimony based on other than personal knowledge, and in my mind would introduce potentially hearsay evidence that really shouldn't come in.

It comes in as admissions in the 30(b)(6)

deposition and you get that, and I don't think, Mr. Tambe or

Ms. Sawyer, that you're objecting to the designation of the

transcript, nor are you objecting to the testimony, as long

Page 10 1 as the topics are within the witness's personal knowledge. 2 MR. TAMBE: We're not objecting to that use of a 3 30(b)(6) transcript, but the only exception that we have 4 proper objections that were made --5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. TAMBE: -- and preserved at the deposition. 7 THE COURT: Right. Okay? So that's my -- that's my disposition of the 30(b)(6). Is that -- I want it to be 8 9 clear so that there's no ambiguity. Okay? 10 MR. TAMBE: Sure. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that's that one. 12 Then we have the two -- the five motions in limine 13 that were filed by Washington TSA and we have the motion of Lehman to exclude the testimony of Mr. Kuri (ph) and 14 15 Mr. Hasteroc (ph). 16 MR. LAWRENCE: Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. LAWRENCE: As I understood your prior ruling at the prior hearing we had you were going to reserve ruling 19 20 on the current Hasteroc motion 'til after you heard their 21 testimony. That -- is that being -- is that different? 22 THE COURT: Well, I think -- yeah, I'm going to 23 hear their testimony. 24 MR. LAWRENCE: Oh, okay. 25 THE COURT: But I think reserving ruling in the

Page 11 sense of that I think under any circumstances I could decide 1 2 after I hear someone's testimony there's a motion --3 MR. LAWRENCE: Sure. 4 THE COURT: -- to exclude it, there's a motion to 5 -- similar motions have been made with respect to the expert 6 testimony that Lehman is offering. 7 MR. LAWRENCE: There is a difference in the scope of the motion. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. LAWRENCE: And part of the reason I'm raising 11 the issue --THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. LAWRENCE: -- and Ms. Evanson will argue the 13 motion in limine, is that we did not understand we were 14 15 going to be arguing --16 THE COURT: You're not. 17 MR. LAWRENCE: -- the motion to exclude. All 18 right. So you had raised that, so I wasn't sure if you 19 20 were going to get argument about that. 21 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LAWRENCE: But the difference is excluding 22 23 part of -- excluding a particular opinion rather than the 24 entire --25 THE COURT: Understood. Okay. I mean I didn't

Pg 12 of 47 Page 12 1 agree to a hearing on the motions separate and apart from 2 the time I had reserved for you for trial. 3 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. 4 THE COURT: So, you know, in the curfuffle 5 surrounding the 30(b)(6) it was mentioned somewhere that oh, 6 we're -- you know, we can deal with that when we have that 7 hearing on the motions in limine. I didn't agree to a 8 hearing on the motions in limine. 9 If you want to be heard beyond the papers, which I 10 think the papers lay out the issues fine and I'm prepared to rule on them, you know, I just thought going into the trial 11 12 you wanted to know where you stood. 13 Hasteroc and Kuri are testifying. Okay? All of 14 the -- let me take the motions one at a time. 15 Okay. So motions one and two have to do with --16 two have to do with Gruer and one has to do with Babble 17 (ph), so those motions are denied. 18 The testimony is coming in and, you know, you can argue after I hear the testimony that I should completely 19 20 disregard it or that I should afford it no weight. Okay? 21 So that's one and two. 22 Three we need to talk about. So, I do recall the

discovery disputes related to the issue of whether or not other states' RFA's calculations were relevant, and there was an email by folks from Schwab Financial, my recollection

23

24

Page 13 is, transmitting a New Jersey proof of claim and we had a 1 2 lot of argument back and forth about the relevance. 3 They're seeking to introduce it not for a 4 valuation of the termination amount but on the narrower 5 issue of the availability of quotes. Because that's what --6 that's what they're papers say. 7 MR. LAWRENCE: You're talking about -- I'm sorry, I should --8 9 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. Either one of you. 10 MR. LAWRENCE: No, I just want to make sure I understand. You're talking about now the --11 12 THE COURT: The proofs of claim. 13 MR. LAWRENCE: -- generally the other -- the other claims or just the -- they're talking about more than just 14 15 the New Jersey claim. 16 THE COURT: Your motion says you want to exclude evidence or argument pertaining of proofs of claim filed by 17 18 other states. MR. LAWRENCE: Right. 19 20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. LAWRENCE: I just want to make clear that it's 22 well beyond New Jersey. 23 THE COURT: The response that I got from Jones Day 24 says we're not -- in response to your argument that it's not 25 fair now to allow that to come in because we were precluded

Page 14 1 from getting it during discovery on the grounds that it 2 wasn't relevant. 3 Their response is it's not coming in on valuation, 4 it's coming in to test the assertion or push back on the 5 assertion that there were no quotes available, you couldn't 6 get a quote, which is a position that Washington TSA has 7 taken. So now I say, okay, and further Jones Day says, a 8 proof of claim is a publicly filed document. That's true, 9 10 but you still have hearsay issues embedded in the proofs of 11 claims. Simply because somebody writes -- and I haven't 12 looked at them yet -- simply because somebody writes in 13 their loss calculation memo here are the three bids, in my mind you would be offering that for the truth that those 14 15 three bids existed. I have no way of knowing that. 16 MR. TAMBE: I think there's a couple of aspects to 17 it. 18 THE COURT: Okay. MR. TAMBE: One is I don't believe we will be 19 20 asking to admit into evidence --21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. TAMBE: -- the three proofs of claim. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. TAMBE: The fact that they exist that in the -- the public record, the public docket --25

Page 15 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. TAMBE: -- has statements filed under penalty 3 of perjury like every other filing that someone purports to have done the following would be used to impeach 4 5 Mr. Shapiro. 6 Mr. Shapiro claims to have run a process which is 7 completely undocumented. We have evidence and we will present evidence from other sources saying there were at 8 9 least indicative quotes available, in fact Kuri and Hasteroc 10 admit they were. 11 THE COURT: But what you're -- but let's say --12 let's say there's a proof of claim from Alaska that nobody 13 has -- that you're offering no first-hand knowledge of. For all we know, with not casting aspersions at Alaska, someone 14 15 might have made up the three quotes. 16 So it's indicative of nothing other than somebody, 17 as you said, filed a proof of claim on a public docket under 18 penalty of perjury. So you can -- I think you can ask him about it, 19 20 but I don't think -- it doesn't come in for the truth of the 21 existence of the quotes reflected in the proof of claim. 22 MR. TAMBE: I'll agree with that. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. TAMBE: We wouldn't offer it for that purpose. 25 Now to the extent that you're going to THE COURT:

Page 16 1 show Mr. Shapiro -- I forget New York or New Jersey -- which 2 he may or may not have personal knowledge of then we might have a different situation. But part of the problem that 3 I'm having is that I feel like I'm -- everyone has got a 4 5 different style of trying a case. Your style obviously is a lot of motions in limine, and that's fine, I just don't -- I 7 never -- you know, we don't know if it's actually going come to that because we don't know how the testimony is going to 8 9 unfold. So, Mr. Tambe, is agreeing, because I'm ruling, 11 that the -- the indicative quotes in the proofs of claim are 12 not going to come in for the truth of the matter asserted, i.e., that those indicative quotes actually existed, except 13 14 to the extent that through the mouth of some witness and the 15 witness lists are in we can get around the hearsay problem. 16 Okay? 17 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Okay in the sense that you hear me, not in that you necessarily agree with me. 19 20 MR. LAWRENCE: Probably okay in both sense. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Okay in the sense that at least 22 I thought carefully about what you both were saying. 23 Okay. Let's talk about motion in limine number 4, 24 which is evidence or argument pertaining to settlement

and/or crystallization.

25

6

Page 17

So in my mind, again not having heard or seen your evidence, those are two very different things.

Settlement as between you -- as between Washington TSA and Lehman totally precluded under FRE 408 and we've gone through that with respect to the mediation and other things.

Washington TSA's communications with other third parties about potentially selling its claim, this, that, or the other thing in connection with an amount of the claim, that's not settlement discussions, that's a non-protected -- in the absence of some other reason that that shouldn't be disclosed that comes in in my view. But I'm happy to hear you on this one.

MS. EVANSON: Your Honor, there are several proposed exhibits that have to do with internal discussions, minutes of board meetings or internal emails within

Washington TSA that have to do with identifying a potential

-- a potential number as far as a crystallization number would go, and that's not necessarily vis-à-vis a third party, that's a number they're trying to reach with Lehman in order to move forward with the crystallization process.

THE COURT: Well when we get to that point then

I'm going to have to look at the exhibit and make a

determination as to whether or not I believe that to be the

case, because if it's an internal communication that's

Page 18 1 unrelated to settlement with Lehman and that's solely 2 related to monotizing the claim I think the latter comes in, I think the former doesn't --3 4 MS. EVANSON: Sure. 5 THE COURT: -- doesn't come in. 6 MS. EVANSON: Sure. Okay. 7 THE COURT: So that's -- you know, that's another one we'll have to see when we get there. 8 9 MS. EVANSON: Fair enough. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right? Ms. Sawyer, you're knitting your brow. 11 12 MS. SAWYER: I just wanted to make sure I 13 understood the ruling. THE COURT: The -- well what's being said is that 14 15 there are going -- there may be internal communications that 16 reflect discussions of coming to a number, and the argument 17 is being made that that process was undertaken for the 18 purpose of settlement discussions with Lehman and therefore should be excluded. 19 20 Based on the description that may be the case or I 21 might conclude that in fact it's not the case, that it's got nothing to do with settling with Lehman, but it's got to do 22 23 with TSA's internal process for coming to a number for the 24 purpose of monotizing the claim, which isn't settlement 25 protected, and hopefully the document will be clearer than

Page 19

I'm being right now.

MS. SAWYER: Thank you.

THE COURT: But I mean we all agree settlement off limits.

Okay. So then that gets us to the fifth one, which is evidence regarding the 2013 refunding of the 2002 bonds, and I think this one is difficult as well because the parties seem to agree that the calculation needs to take place as of the termination date, right?

So that what Lehman is saying is if everybody agrees with that then anything that happened subsequent to that if it's off limits for us, Lehman, it should be off limits for you, TSA.

I can't tell exactly what you're going to say, but
I think there is some merit to the argument that if we're
opening the door to Monday morning quarterbacking, in other
words, looking at what happened a couple of years down the
road to shed light on the reasonableness or not or the
quality of what was done in the past, then we're opening the
door to that. But I just can't tell enough to know whether
or not the refunding of the bonds is going to come into
play. I don't even -- I would guess that they don't even
know because they don't know what you're going say.

So, I think on that one I have to tell you I'm going to wait and see, but to me it seems right that it's

Page 20 1 either -- if it's off limits it's off limits and they can't 2 bring it up, but to the extent that you go there and we're 3 introducing the future as a way of evaluating what happened 4 in the past it may well be that the refunding of the bonds 5 is relevant to that. 6 In other words if we're into actual loss land a 7 opposed to a calculation, if that makes sense. 8 So on that one I think I'm going to wait and see 9 as we get closer toward it, and I'll assume that somebody is 10 going to pop up and say we've arrived at the bond refunding 11 point and then I'll be able to see where we are on that to 12 know what the right answer is. Okay? 13 MR. TAMBE: Okay. 14 MS. SAWYER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: So I think that that's it. No? 16 miss one? 17 MR. TAMBE: It is it. It is it. There's just a 18 point of clarification. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. TAMBE: I heard you loud and clear on Kuri and 21 Hasteroc --22 THE COURT: Right. 23 MR. TAMBE: -- and I don't want to test the 24 Court's patience. 25 THE COURT: No.

Pg 21 of 47 Page 21 1 I assume at some point Mr. Hasteroc is MR. TAMBE: 2 going to take the stand and he's going to be -- his 3 qualifications are going to be read in. 4 We could just preserve our objection as part of my 5 cross, I could deal with it, or I could do a voir dire. But 6 the only reason to do the voir dire would be to actually cut 7 off his testimony at that point. THE COURT: Yeah, I don't want to cut off his 8 9 testimony. I mean --10 MR. TAMBE: That answers the question. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean so for the sake of 12 saving everybody's time --13 MR. TAMBE: Yeah. THE COURT: -- I think that from the documents 14 15 that have been submitted, you know, the argument is being 16 made that this is an ipse dixit type expert opinion and 17 presumably these folks are going to demonstrate why it 18 should be called something less pejorative than an ipse dixit, even though it seems to be agreed that it was created 19 20 for this unique circumstance, and I'm going to hear what 21 they have to say and then I'll hear from you as to why I 22 should thoroughly disregard it. 23 MR. TAMBE: That's right, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay?

Thank you.

MR. TAMBE:

Page 22 1 THE COURT: Is that --2 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- okay? MR. LAWRENCE: I think there's one other issue. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. LAWRENCE: Lehman has asked -- they like to 7 pick on Mr. Shapiro -- they've asked that Mr. Shapiro be sequestered from testimony at trial. We are agreeable to 8 9 having him sequestered with respect to the TSA fact 10 witnesses who are testifying, but we believe it's 11 appropriate as an expert that he be able to sit in on the 12 testimony of Kuri and Hasteroc. 13 Our order we've given them is that we have all the fact witnesses followed by Mr. Hasteroc, Mr. Kuri, and 14 15 Mr. Shapiro, and then they have their experts in their case. 16 THE COURT: And you have a problem with that? 17 MR. TAMBE: I do, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. TAMBE: Part of --20 THE COURT: Because I would have -- I'm sorry, you 21 go first. 22 Okay. Part of the theme that we have MR. TAMBE: shared with the Court is --23 24 THE COURT: Right. 25 MR. TAMBE: -- they have some inconsistencies --

Page 23 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. TAMBE: -- to put it mildly between the two 3 experts. THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 MR. TAMBE: They have Hasteroc followed by Kuri, if I can just lay out for you what my concern is. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. TAMBE: I might challenge Hasteroc on a few 8 9 things. Mr. Shapiro, hearing that, one is forewarned in 10 terms of where Mr. Hasteroc has left him, but he may also try and improve the lie as it were fore -- a golf term, not 11 12 a --THE COURT: Golf term, not a --13 MR. TAMBE: A golf term. 14 15 THE COURT: -- not a perjury term, right. 16 MR. TAMBE: -- for Mr. Kuri. I have concerns 17 about that. Because --18 THE COURT: So wait, do you have concerns about Kuri being in the courtroom while Hasteroc is testifying? 19 20 MR. TAMBE: I don't. I don't. 21 THE COURT: No. 22 MR. TAMBE: It's Mr. Shapiro. 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 MR. TAMBE: I mean we can be quite open about 25 this, it's Mr. Shapiro.

Page 24 THE COURT: Okay. So Shapiro -- Hasteroc and Kuri 1 2 are going to testify. They're the unique approach guys. 3 MR. TAMBE: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. TAMBE: Followed by Mr. Shapiro. 6 THE COURT: Followed by Mr. Shapiro. But then I 7 would think that one of the things that you're going to want to do is to ask Mr. Shapiro all the things that you believe 8 are wrong with what Hasteroc and Kuri did. No? Or is it --9 10 because of the different methodologies you're not mixing it 11 up? 12 MR. TAMBE: It's really different methodologies, 13 and to the extent I want to ask Mr. Shapiro that I can ask 14 him off of the --15 THE COURT: Okay. So then --16 MR. TAMBE: -- documents that were provided. 17 THE COURT: -- then Hasteroc and Kuri come and go. 18 MR. TAMBE: Yeah. THE COURT: And what prejudice is that there to 19 20 you that Shapiro has listened to it? 21 MR. TAMBE: He shapes his testimony. He shapes 22 his testimony to try and avoid the conflict, to try and 23 explain away the conflict. 24 THE COURT: But the normal rule is that experts get to hear each other testify. So you have the same issue. 25

Pg 25 of 47 Page 25 1 You know the fact that Shapiro kind of was shifted kind of 2 from a fact witness to -- I thought you were going somewhere 3 else. I mean the normal rule is that experts can listen to 4 each other testify, and you will then have the ability to 5 impeach Mr. Shapiro based on his prior deposition where he 6 didn't have that opportunity, no? 7 I'm just trying to follow --MR. LAWRENCE: Well they have three depositions. 8 9 MR. TAMBE: A few depositions. He's been deposed 10 three times, so we'll --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. TAMBE: Yeah. So we'll --13 THE COURT: Right. So if he -- so if he hears something and he thinks uh oh, that's, you know, it's not 14 15 adding up, the judge isn't buying it I'm going to shift a little bit then you're going to -- you're going to impeach 16 17 him with prior testimony in which he was not so informed, 18 right? MR. TAMBE: I will do that. I'll tell you what 19 20 the other concern is. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. TAMBE: In the last four to six weeks we've 23 seen a couple of new theories that appeared nowhere in any

of the prior filings. For example, there's a mistake in the

RFA.

24

Page 26 First off let me -- so it may well be the case that Mr. Kuri and Mr. Hasteroc take the stand having read the Daubert motion, for example --THE COURT: The mistake in the RFA being as if it was Lehman? MR. TAMBE: Yeah. That's in the argument, right. That's an argument that -- at least to me it was. So that's what raises my concern. What raises my concern is that there's a shifting of theories and therefore the depositions we've taken before may be useful to us to impeach, but they may not. THE COURT: Okay. But --MR. TAMBE: And the one witness that that raises greater concern for me than anyone else is Mr. Shapiro. That's as nicely as I can say it. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Lawrence. MR. LAWRENCE: I don't know where to begin. But normal ruling is as Your Honor said experts. This new theory that's a bunch of hockie (sic). We've made that argument that on the --THE COURT: The --MR. LAWRENCE: -- negotiation of the RFA since day one, it doesn't -- that argument is irrelevant to Mr. Shapiro's deposition, to Mr. Kuri's deposition, to

Mr. Hasteroc's deposition, Mr. Gruer's deposition. None of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 27

them are arguing that as if it were Lehman it doesn't matter because it was a mistake, they argue as if it -- where Lehman doesn't matter because it doesn't matter in the termination calculation under the definition of the RFA, which their experts admit they did not use the as if it were Lehman language. So this is totally made up argument. THE COURT: But if we're going to -- so to the extent that Shapiro is going to be present for Hasteroc and Kuri's testimony the normal rules are going to apply --MR. LAWRENCE: Right. THE COURT: -- that he can't talk to anybody, Shapiro. He can't talk to you, he can't talk to Hasteroc or Kuri. MR. LAWRENCE: Then I want that rule applied to their experts, that Mr. Gruer and Mr. Babble can't talk to them either. Because they're going bring Gruer and Babble into the courtroom to listen to the expert testimony. Why should Mr. Shapiro who is an expert be treated differently than Mr. Gruer or Mr. Babble? I don't follow the rationale, because I knew that they'd want to bring in Gruer. If they're going to keep out Gruer and Babble that's a different story. MR. TAMBE: Let me be clear. Mr. Gruer and Mr. Babble won't listen -- won't be in the courtroom when Mr. Kuri, Mr. Hasteroc, or Mr. Shapiro testify. They're not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 28 1 going to be here. But I am at the end of the trial date going talk to the experts about what came in and didn't come 3 in. 4 THE COURT: Right. No, you can talk to --5 MR. TAMBE: I'm allowed to do that. 6 THE COURT: Of course you're going to talk to your 7 experts, I'm just talking about Hasteroc and Kuri testify --8 MR. LAWRENCE: And they can't talk to Shapiro. 9 THE COURT: -- and they can't talk to Shapiro. MR. LAWRENCE: Oh, absolutely. I'm sorry. 10 11 THE COURT: Yeah. No, that's --12 MR. LAWRENCE: Then that's --13 THE COURT: -- that's all I meant. 14 MR. LAWRENCE: Of course. 15 THE COURT: I mean he's going to sit here and he's 16 going to listen --17 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: -- but then you don't get to prep Mr. Shapiro on how to handle inconsistencies. 19 20 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. I mean there are no 21 inconsistencies. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I'm being --23 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. 24 THE COURT: -- hypothetical. 25 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes.

Page 29 THE COURT: I'm being hypothetical. 1 2 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Right? 4 MR. LAWRENCE: Right. 5 THE COURT: You don't get to -- whether we end at 6 5:00 or whether it's the middle of the day -- I mean just to 7 be clear --8 MR. LAWRENCE: Sure. 9 THE COURT: -- you don't get to sit down with 10 Mr. Shapiro and say that Hasteroc and Kuri messed up you 11 have to do this, that, or the other thing, right? 12 MR. LAWRENCE: No, that's correct, but again, just to be clear, obviously they had asked a number of questions 13 about the inconsistency of Mr. Shapiro's at deposition and 14 15 he's talked about them. 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. LAWRENCE: Obviously we can prepare him with 18 respect to stuff they've asked about of him. THE COURT: Oh, yeah, right, that hasn't --19 20 MR. LAWRENCE: Absolutely. 21 THE COURT: -- that hasn't happened yet. 22 MR. LAWRENCE: Correct. 23 THE COURT: I'm just trying to seize on the risk 24 of prejudice that they've --25 MR. LAWRENCE: Sure.

Page 30 THE COURT: -- identified that the testimony gets 1 2 molded. 3 Now he's a smart gentleman and presumably he's 4 going to hear it, he's going to pick up on it or he's not 5 going to pick up on it, then you're going to put him on 6 and --7 MR. LAWRENCE: That's fine. THE COURT: -- the chips will fall where they may, 8 9 but without the --10 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Yes. I won't say any --12 MR. LAWRENCE: And I won't do that any way, but 13 that's neither here nor there. 14 THE COURT: I understand. All right? 15 MR. TAMBE: That's fine, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. LAWRENCE: I think we're done. 18 THE COURT: Anything else housekeeping or otherwise? 19 20 MS. SAWYER: I had a couple of housekeeping 21 things. 22 THE COURT: Okay, sure. 23 MS. SAWYER: And they're hopefully much less contention than what we've --24 25 THE COURT: So -- okay. So speaking of

Page 31 1 housekeeping I usually like to have -- what are you going to 2 be doing with the tech and with the screen and with the 3 whole -- you know, am I going to have -- I have your volume, 4 it's very thin, well done, and I have your volumes, but them 5 I'm looking at all those boxes and I get very nervous. 6 MR. TAMBE: Contingency planning, Your Honor. 7 (Laughter) MR. TAMBE: Here's what we have. What we envision 8 with each witness is we'll have a witness notebook --9 10 THE COURT: Perfect. MR. TAMBE: -- which will have the exhibits both 11 12 on cross for the cross witnesses --13 THE COURT: Perfect. MR. TAMBE: -- and for references that we want to 14 15 use you'll get two copies of those. 16 THE COURT: That's absolutely -- that is -- that's 17 perfect. Then I can leave --MR. TAMBE: So that's what a lot of that is. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Then I have leave my master set 19 20 in chambers, but the witness notebooks are the key to making 21 me extremely happy during the trial because I rely on them 22 to a tremendous extent. So that's -- that's great. 23 MR. TAMBE: And then in terms of technology we'll 24 have some demonstratives from time to time, for the opening 25

tomorrow I'll have some slides that I'll put up there.

	1 g 32 01 47
	Page 32
1	THE COURT: Okay. That's you're going to put up
2	on this?
3	MR. TAMBE: Well they'll go up on the I think
4	it's all one screen. It shows up there as well as there.
5	THE COURT: It's going to show up on my screen,
6	your screens
7	MR. TAMBE: Yeah, I know you're not a big fan of
8	the big screen. I
9	THE COURT: I'll do whatever works for you guys
10	I'm happy to do. That's by rule.
11	Now are we having a real-time court reporter?
12	MR. TAMBE: I believe we are, yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
14	MR. TAMBE: I think that's it on technology.
15	THE COURT: I think that's it.
16	MR. TAMBE: At least from our perspective.
17	THE COURT: Anything else?
18	MS. SAWYER: So I had just a couple things.
19	THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.
20	MS. SAWYER: So there's some exhibits that we've
21	agreed in advance that can be admitted into evidence, and
22	I'm just curious like what time do you want us to try to
23	move those in? Should we
24	THE COURT: I'd like to do it all at the end.
25	MS. SAWYER: At the end.

Pg 33 of 47 Page 33 1 THE COURT: Yeah. 2 MS. SAWYER: So during the examinations then we 3 can just use them and --4 THE COURT: You can just use them as long as you 5 -- we all have an understanding that you're responsible at 6 the end for telling me what documents come into evidence. 7 This doesn't sound like a situation in which sometimes the parties bring in 10,000 potential trial 8 9 exhibits and they end up using 300 of them, but then they 10 want to admit into evidence all 10,000, which creates all 11 kinds of issues on appeal and otherwise. 12 It sounds as if you're on the same page in terms of you're going to be affirmatively bringing to my attention 13 14 those documents that you want admitted into evidence. Yes? 15 MR. LAWRENCE: Yes, that's accurate, but I just 16 want to -- I just want to understand in terms of our 17 examination of witnesses to the extent there are objections 18 to documents --19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. LAWRENCE: -- do those need to be addressed 21 with the witness or are you going to reserve those for the 22 end of the case? 23 THE COURT: I think you -- no, I think you -- make 24 the objection and then I'm going dispose of it before you

keep going --

Page 34 1 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. I -- that's --2 THE COURT: -- with the document. 3 I simply meant that we don't need to have the 4 hiccup of after the use of every document I move into 5 evidence, you know, plaintiff's 13 or whatever you're naming 6 -- you're numbering convention is. That's all I meant. 7 MR. LAWRENCE: Great. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: But --9 MS. SAWYER: If there's an objection being 10 discussed should we -- can we ask for a ruling at that time 11 or not? 12 THE COURT: Yeah. 13 MS. SAWYER: Okay. All right. 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MS. SAWYER: I just --16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MS. SAWYER: Okay. 18 THE COURT: I mean I'm here to try to do this in the way that makes you, you know, the most at ease, the most 19 20 efficient, the most happy. 21 MS. SAWYER: Then there's also two exhibits that 22 we believe should be admitted into evidence based upon 23 deposition designations of a witness who's not going to be 24 now testifying at the trial but we intend to use during the 25 examinations. Is -- is it fine for me to just use those or

Page 35 our request to just use those and we deal with the objection 1 2 after the fact or is there something we should deal with --3 THE COURT: There's going to be an objection to 4 the use of --5 MS. SAWYER: -- up in advance? 6 MR. LAWRENCE: I have no idea what exhibits you're 7 talking about. 8 THE COURT: I mean this is in the category of --9 MS. SAWYER: It's Debtors' Exhibit 25 and 26. 10 THE COURT: This is in the category of bridges that we cross when we get to them. 11 12 MS. SAWYER: Okay. I just am trying to streamline 13 the presentation. 14 MR. LAWRENCE: We'll look at -- we'll look at 15 those. 16 MS. SAWYER: Okay. All right. 17 THE COURT: Yeah. 18 MR. LAWRENCE: Go ahead. MS. SAWYER: Okay. Then we also have a video that 19 20 Lehman may present if there's time of Mr. Bregara's (ph) 21 testimony and there's some objections that have been launched to the deposition designations of that video. For 22 23 purposes of, you know, efficient playing --24 THE COURT: So you wanted to show -- you want to 25 give me a thumb drive with the video or you actually want to

Page 36 1 play it during the course of the trial? 2 MS. SAWYER: We actually -- to the extent there's 3 time we might play it during the course of the hearing. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MS. SAWYER: And we've shared the video with them 6 and included --7 THE COURT: Okay. MS. SAWYER: -- designations from both sides, but 8 9 there's some evident their objections and some form 10 objections --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. SAWYER: -- that we believe the form objections have been waived, but there's a couple 13 evidentiary objections --14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MS. SAWYER: -- to portions of the video. And so 17 we thought it might be useful to resolve those --18 THE COURT: Well it's portions of the video meaning portions of the testimony. 19 20 MS. SAWYER: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So I guess what you'll have to 22 do is in advance of that you're going to have to give me the 23 portions of the transcript and some short indication of, you 24 know, what the objections are --25 MR. LAWRENCE: Sure.

Page 37 1 THE COURT: -- and I'll give you a disposition on 2 that. 3 MS. SAWYER: Okay. 4 MR. LAWRENCE: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay? Okay. I mean, you know, if 6 it's --7 MR. LAWRENCE: That makes sense. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I mean if at any time --9 MS. SAWYER: We just wanted to figure out how to 10 deal with it. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. No, if at any time I'm doing 12 something that you think is not the way you'd prefer to do 13 it, other than the way I rule, you should -- you should just 14 let me know. Okay? I know this is a very tiring and time 15 consuming exercise. 16 So we'll start at 9:00, we'll keep an eye of the 17 witnesses so their comfort is not sacrificed for our time 18 keeping, and I'll find out what the very latest minute is 19 that I can leave tomorrow. 20 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 4:10 PM) 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 38 of 47			
			Page 38	
1	INDEX			
2				
3	RULINGS			
4		Page	Line	
5	30(b)(6)	9	1	
6	Motion in Limine No. 1	12	17	
7	Motion in Limine No. 2	12	17	
8	Motion in Limine No. 3	16	10	
9	Motion in Limine No. 4	19	3	
10	Motion in Limine No. 5	20	8	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 39 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 4 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Dawn South DN: cn=Dawn South, o, ou, Dawn South email=digital1@veritext.com, 6 Date: 2014.11.12 16:41:03 -05'00' 7 Dawn South AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-408 8 9 Veritext 10 330 Old Country Road 11 Suite 300 12 Mineola, NY 11501 13 14 Date: November 12, 2014 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

[**08-13555 - case**]

0	5	agreement 4:13	avoid 24:22
		6:10	<b>b</b>
<b>08-13555</b> 1:3	5 38:10	agrees 19:11	
1	<b>5:00</b> 29:6	<b>ahead</b> 13:9 26:16	<b>b</b> 1:20 8:9,13,16 9:1
1 38:5,6	<b>5:30</b> 7:9,20	32:19 35:18	9:7,11,15,17,17,22
<b>10</b> 7:21 38:8	6	alaska 15:12,14	10:3,8 12:5 38:5
<b>10,000</b> 33:8,10	<b>6</b> 8:9,13,16 9:1,7,11	allow 13:25	babble 12:16 27:15
<b>10017-6702</b> 3:5	9:15,17,22 10:3,8	allowed 8:2 28:5	27:16,19,21,24
<b>11501</b> 39:12	12:5 38:5	ambiguity 10:9	back 9:9 13:2 14:4
<b>1191</b> 3:13	8	amendment 4:15	bankruptcy 1:1,13
<b>12</b> 38:6,7 39:14	<b>8</b> 38:10	<b>amount</b> 13:4 17:9	1:22
<b>13</b> 34:5		amounts 4:14	based 9:1,16,19
<b>15</b> 7:21	9	answer 9:3 20:12	18:20 25:5 34:22
<b>16</b> 38:8	9 38:5	answers 21:10	believe 9:8 14:19
<b>17</b> 38:6,7	<b>98101-2945</b> 3:15	anybody 27:11	17:24 22:10 24:8
<b>19</b> 38:9	<b>9:00</b> 6:4,25 7:1,9,13	apart 12:1	32:12 34:22 36:12
<b>19888</b> 2:1	37:16	<b>appeal</b> 8:20 33:11	<b>beyond</b> 12:9 13:22 <b>bids</b> 14:13,15
2	a	appearances 4:3	big 32:7,8
<b>2</b> 38:7	aaert 39:7	appeared 25:23	bit 25:16
<b>20</b> 38:10	ability 25:4	applied 27:14	<b>board</b> 17:16
<b>2002</b> 19:6	able 7:22 20:11	<b>apply</b> 27:9	bond 20:10
<b>2013</b> 19:6	22:11	approach 24:2	bonds 19:7,21 20:4
<b>2014</b> 1:17 39:14	absence 17:11	appropriate 22:11	bowling 1:14
<b>2032</b> 4:22	absolutely 9:4	<b>argue</b> 11:13 12:19	boxes 31:5
<b>2042</b> 4:20	28:10 29:20 31:16	27:2	break 6:7,7
<b>2100</b> 3:14	accurate 33:15 39:4	<b>arguing</b> 11:15 27:1	breakout 7:24
<b>222</b> 3:4	actual 20:6	argument 6:12	bregara's 35:20
<b>25</b> 35:9	adding 25:15	11:20 13:2,17,24	bridges 35:10
<b>26</b> 35:9	addressed 33:20	16:24 18:16 19:15	bring 8:3 20:2
<b>2nd</b> 3:13	admissions 9:22	21:15 26:6,7,20,23	27:16,20 33:8
3	admit 14:20 15:10	27:6	bringing 33:13
<b>3</b> 1:17 38:8,9	27:5 33:10	arrived 20:10	brothers 1:7
<b>30</b> 8:9,13,16 9:1,7	admitted 32:21	asked 22:6,7 29:13	<b>brow</b> 18:11
9:11,15,17,17,22	33:14 34:22	29:18	<b>bunch</b> 9:13 26:19
10:3,8 12:5 38:5	<b>advance</b> 32:21 35:5	asking 14:20	<b>buying</b> 25:15
<b>300</b> 33:9 39:11	36:22	aspects 14:16	c
<b>330</b> 39:10	<b>adversary</b> 5:1,15,20	aspersions 15:14	
<b>3:35</b> 1:18	affirmatively 33:13	asserted 16:12	c 1:21 3:1 4:1 39:1,1
4	<b>afford</b> 12:20	assertion 14:4,5	<b>calculation</b> 14:13 19:8 20:7 27:4
	afternoon 4:4,8	assume 20:9 21:1	calculations 12:24
4 16:23 38:9	agree 12:1,7 15:22	attention 33:13	calculations 12:24 called 9:17 21:18
<b>408</b> 17:4 39:7	16:19 19:3,8	attorneys 3:3,12	carefully 16:22
<b>41st</b> 3:4	agreeable 22:8	authority 4:10	case 1:3 16:5 17:25
<b>4:10</b> 37:20	agreed 4:14 21:19	availability 13:5	18:20,21 22:15 26:1
	32:21	available 14:5 15:9	33:22
	agreeing 16:10	avenue 3:13	33.44

[cases - document] Page 2

angag 0.2	completely 12.10	29.460111215	domonatustivas
cases 9:2	<b>completely</b> 12:19	28:4,6,9,11,13,15 28:18,22,24 29:1,3	demonstratives 31:24
casting 15:14	1017		denied 12:17
category 35:8,10	concept 9:15	29:5,9,16,19,21,23	
certainly 7:12	<b>concern</b> 23:6 25:20	30:1,8,11,14,16,18	deposed 25:9
certified 39:7	26:8,8,14	30:22,25 31:10,13	<b>deposition</b> 9:8,14
certify 39:3	<b>concerned</b> 9:4,15	31:16,19 32:1,5,9	9:23 10:6 25:5
cet 39:7	concerns 23:16,18	32:11,13,15,17,19	26:24,24,25,25
cetera 9:3	<b>conclude</b> 5:20 18:21	32:24 33:1,4,19,23	29:14 34:23 35:22
challenge 23:8	concluded 37:20	34:2,8,12,14,16,18	depositions 25:8,9
chambers 31:20	concludes 5:12	35:3,8,10,17,24	26:9
chapman 1:21	conference 2:1	36:4,7,11,15,18,21	derivative 2:3
<b>chess</b> 6:17	<b>conflict</b> 24:22,23	37:1,5,8,11	description 18:20
<b>chips</b> 30:8	connection 17:9	<b>court's</b> 20:24	designation 9:24
circumstance 21:20	consuming 37:15	courtroom 23:19	designations 34:23
circumstances 11:1	contention 30:24	27:17,24	35:22 36:8
<b>claim</b> 4:14 5:9 13:1	contingency 31:6	created 21:19	determination
13:12,15,17 14:9,22	convention 34:6	creates 33:10	17:24
15:12,17,21 16:11	copies 31:15	cross 21:5 31:12,12	difference 11:7,22
17:8,9 18:2,24	corporate 9:12	35:11	<b>different</b> 10:21 16:3
claims 2:2,3,3 4:14	correct 4:21 5:2 7:3	crystallization	16:5 17:2 24:10,12
13:14 14:11 15:6	29:12,22	16:25 17:18,21	27:22
clarification 20:18	<b>country</b> 39:10	curfuffle 12:4	differently 27:18
clarify 6:2	<b>couple</b> 14:16 19:17	curious 32:22	difficult 19:7
clean 8:5,6	25:23 30:20 32:18	current 10:20	dire 21:5,6
clear 6:25 10:9	36:13	cut 21:6,8	disagreement 6:21
13:21 20:20 27:23	course 28:6,14 36:1	,	disclosed 17:12
29:7,13	36:3	d	discovery 12:23
clearer 18:25	<b>court</b> 1:1,13 4:2,7	<b>d</b> 4:1 38:1 39:7	14:1
clock 6:17	4:11,18,22,24 5:3,7	date 4:20 19:9 28:1	discuss 7:16
closer 20:9	5:12,14,19,23,25	39:14	discussed 34:10
coffee 8:4		daubert 26:3	discussions 17:10
	6:5,14,22,24 7:5,10	dawn 2:25 39:3,7	
color 8:18	7:15,18,24 8:2 10:5	day 3:2 4:5 6:20	17:15 18:16,18
come 9:21 13:25	10:7,11,17,22,25	7:13,16 13:23 14:8	dismay 6:8
15:20 16:7,12 18:5	11:4,9,12,16,21,25	26:22 29:6	dispose 33:24
19:21 24:17 28:2	12:4 13:9,12,16,20	<b>days</b> 7:2,19	disposition 10:8
33:6	13:23 14:18,21,23	deal 8:12 12:6 21:5	37:1
comes 9:22 17:12	15:1,11,23,25 16:18	35:1,2 37:10	disputes 12:23
18:2	16:21 17:22 18:5,7	<b>debtor</b> 1:9 3:3	disregard 12:20
<b>comfort</b> 37:17	18:10,14 19:3 20:15	<b>debtors</b> 2:2 4:6 35:9	21:22
<b>coming</b> 12:18 14:3	20:19,22,25 21:8,11	decide 5:8,9,10 11:1	<b>district</b> 1:2 6:5
14:4 18:16,23	21:14,24 22:1,3,5	<b>decided</b> 5:6	<b>dixit</b> 21:16,19
communication	22:16,18,20,23,24	decision 8:19	<b>doc</b> 2:1
17:25	23:1,4,7,13,15,18	defenses 5:18	<b>docket</b> 6:1 14:25
communications	23:21,23 24:1,4,6	definition 27:4	15:17
17:7 18:15	24:15,17,19,24	del 3:9 4:5	document 14:9
complaint 5:1,16	25:11,13,21 26:4,12		18:25 34:2,4
	26:16,21 27:7,11	demonstrate 21:17	,
		DTING COMPANY	

[documents - heard] Page 3

documents 21:14	examination 33:17	filings 25:24	17:23 18:15 19:14
24:16 33:6,14,18	examinations 33:2	financial 12:25	19:21,23,25 20:8,10
<b>doing</b> 31:2 37:11	34:25	<b>find</b> 37:18	21:2,2,3,17,20 24:2
<b>door</b> 19:16,20	<b>example</b> 25:24 26:3	<b>fine</b> 12:10 16:6 30:7	24:7 25:2,15,16,16
drill 8:2	exception 10:3	30:15 34:25	27:7,8,9,16,21 28:1
drinks 8:3	exceptions 9:8	finesse 7:22	28:2,6,15,16 30:4,4
<b>drive</b> 35:25	<b>exclude</b> 10:14 11:4	<b>finish</b> 7:21	30:5,5 31:1,3 32:1,5
driven 4:19	11:17 13:16	<b>first</b> 2:3 4:12 15:13	33:13,21,24,25
e	excluded 18:19	22:21 26:1	34:23 35:3 36:22
	excluding 11:22,23	<b>five</b> 10:12	<b>golf</b> 23:11,13,14
e 1:20,20 3:1,1 4:1,1	exercise 37:15	<b>flevaris</b> 3:19 4:9	<b>good</b> 4:4,7,8 6:8,19
38:1 39:1	<b>exhibit</b> 17:23 35:9	folks 6:6 12:25	7:20
early 7:19	exhibits 17:15	21:17	gotten 8:21
ease 34:19	31:11 32:20 33:9	<b>follow</b> 25:7 27:19	great 8:12,13 31:22
east 3:4	34:21 35:6	<b>followed</b> 22:14 23:5	34:7
educated 9:13	exist 14:24	24:5,6	greater 26:14
effect 9:18	<b>existed</b> 8:14 14:15	following 15:4	green 1:14
efficient 34:20	16:13	<b>food</b> 8:3	grounds 14:1
35:23	existence 15:21	fore 23:11	group 3:11
eight 6:10 8:24	expert 11:5 21:16	foregoing 39:3	gruer 12:16 27:15
either 13:9 20:1	22:11 27:17,18	forewarned 23:9	27:16,19,20,21,23
27:16	experts 22:15 23:3	forget 16:1	gruer's 5:11,12
electronic 39:7	24:24 25:3 26:18	form 36:9,12	26:25
<b>email</b> 12:25	27:5,15 28:2,7	former 18:3	guess 19:22 36:21
emails 17:16	explain 24:23	forth 13:2	guys 24:2 32:9
embedded 14:10	extent 15:25 16:14	<b>forward</b> 17:21	
enable 6:5	20:2 24:13 27:8	four 25:22	h
enter 6:1	31:22 33:17 36:2	fre 17:4	<b>half</b> 6:11
entire 11:24	extremely 31:21	fundamental 6:21	<b>hand</b> 15:13
envision 31:8	eve 37:16	further 14:8	handle 28:19
<b>error</b> 4:19	•	future 20:3	<b>happened</b> 19:11,17
<b>esq</b> 3:7,8,9,17,18,19	f		20:3 29:21
<b>et</b> 9:3	<b>f</b> 1:20 39:1	g	<b>happy</b> 17:12 31:21
evaluating 20:3	<b>fact</b> 14:24 15:9	<b>g</b> 4:1	32:10 34:20
<b>evanson</b> 3:17 4:8,9	18:21 22:9,14 25:1	generally 13:13	<b>hard</b> 6:4 7:9
11:13 17:14 18:4,6	25:2 35:2	<b>gentleman</b> 9:4 30:3	hasteroc 10:15,20
18:9	<b>fair</b> 13:25 18:9	<b>getting</b> 4:3 14:1	12:13 15:9 20:21
eve 8:25	<b>fall</b> 30:8	give 8:17 35:25	21:1 22:12,14 23:5
everybody 19:10	<b>fan</b> 32:7	36:22 37:1	23:8,10,19 24:1,9
everybody's 21:12	<b>far</b> 9:4,15 17:18	given 7:25 22:13	24:17 26:2 27:8,12
<b>evidence</b> 9:21 13:17	<b>feel</b> 16:4	<b>go</b> 6:5 7:9 8:9 9:13	27:25 28:7 29:10
14:20 15:7,8 16:24	<b>fifth</b> 19:5	13:9 17:19 20:2	hasteroc's 26:25
17:2 19:6 32:21	<b>figure</b> 6:15 37:9	22:21 24:17 26:16	hear 10:23 11:2
33:6,10,14 34:5,22	<b>file</b> 5:1,15	32:3,19 35:18	12:19 16:18 17:12
evident 36:9	<b>filed</b> 10:13 13:17	going 6:4,5,14,16	21:20,21 24:25 30:4
evidentiary 36:14	14:9 15:2,17	8:16,17 10:19,22	<b>heard</b> 10:20 12:9
exactly 19:14	filing 15:3	11:15,20 12:11	17:1 20:20
		15:25 16:7,8,12	
	I .	DTING COMPANY	l .

[hearing - mineola] Page 4

			<b>.</b>
hearing 2:1 10:19	<b>introduce</b> 9:20 13:3	kuri's 26:24 27:9	line 38:4
12:1,7,8 23:9 36:3	introducing 20:3	<b>kymberly</b> 3:17 4:9	listen 25:3 27:17,24
hears 25:13	<b>ipse</b> 21:16,18	l	28:16
hearsay 9:21 14:10	irrelevant 26:23	1 3:9	listened 24:20
16:15	issue 8:9,13,13,17	land 20:6	<b>lists</b> 16:15
hiccup 34:4	9:1 11:11 12:23	language 27:6	<b>little</b> 25:16
<b>hockie</b> 26:19	13:5 22:4 24:25	largely 6:6 8:10	long 6:7,15 8:4 9:25
holdings 1:7	issues 7:6 12:10	latest 37:18	33:4
<b>hon</b> 1:21	14:10 33:11	laugh 8:5	look 17:23 35:14,14
<b>honor</b> 4:4,8,17,21	<b>it'll</b> 6:19	laughter 31:7	<b>looked</b> 14:12
5:2,8,9,10,22 8:1	j	launched 35:22	<b>looking</b> 19:17 31:5
10:16 17:14 20:14	<b>j</b> 3:18	lauri 3:8 4:5	loss 14:13 20:6
21:23 22:17 26:18	jay 4:4	law 3:11 9:10	<b>lot</b> 4:2 9:1 13:2 16:6
30:15 31:6 37:4	jayant 3:7	lawrence 3:18 4:9	31:18
hopefully 18:25	jennifer 3:9 4:5	7:3 10:16,18,24	<b>loud</b> 20:20
30:23	jersey 13:1,15,22	11:3,7,10,13,17,22	<b>lunch</b> 6:7,7
<b>hour</b> 6:11	16:1		m
<b>hours</b> 6:11		12:3 13:7,10,13,19 13:21 16:17,20 22:2	making 31:20
housekeeping 30:18	<b>jones</b> 3:2 4:5 13:23	,	
30:20 31:1	14:8	22:4,6 25:8 26:16	manage 6:23
hundred 2:2	<b>judge</b> 1:22 25:15	26:17,22 27:10,14	master 31:19
hypothetical 28:24	k	28:8,10,12,14,17,20	matter 1:5 16:12
29:1	<b>k</b> 3:17	28:23,25 29:2,4,8	27:1,3,3
i	keep 27:21 33:25	29:12,17,20,22,25	matters 8:24 9:5
	37:16	30:7,10,12,17 33:15	mean 6:24 9:9,11
<b>i.e.</b> 16:13	<b>keeping</b> 6:16 37:18	33:20 34:1,7 35:6	11:25 19:3 21:9,11
idea 35:6	key 31:20	35:14,18 36:25 37:4	23:24 25:3 28:15,20
identified 30:1	<b>kind</b> 25:1,1	37:7	29:6 34:18 35:8
identifying 17:17	kinds 33:11	lay 12:10 23:6	37:5,8
impeach 15:4 25:5	knew 27:20	lbhi's 4:15	meaning 36:19
25:16 26:10	knitting 18:11	lbsf 4:25	means 5:4,5
improve 23:11	<b>know</b> 6:9,10 7:20	learn 8:13,14,15	meant 28:13 34:3,6
included 36:6	8:2,14 12:4,6,11,12	leave 6:6,14 31:17	mediation 17:5
including 4:15	12:18 15:14 16:7,7	31:19 37:19	<b>medico</b> 3:9 4:5
inconsistencies	16:8 18:7 19:20,23	<b>left</b> 23:10	meetings 17:16
22:25 28:19,21	19:23 20:12 21:15	<b>lehman</b> 1:7 10:14	<b>memo</b> 14:13
inconsistency 29:14	25:1,14 26:17 31:3	11:6 17:4,20 18:1	mentioned 12:5
incorporated 8:19	32:7 34:5,19 35:23	18:18,22 19:10,12	<b>merit</b> 19:15
indication 36:23	36:24 37:5,14,14	22:6 26:5 27:1,3,6	merits 8:19
indicative 15:9,16	knowing 14:15	35:20	messed 29:10
16:11,13	knowledge 9:6,20	lehman's 4:15	methodologies
indulgence 7:7	10:1 15:13 16:2	<b>lie</b> 23:11	24:10,12
informed 25:17	kuri 10:14 12:13	<b>light</b> 19:18	middle 29:6
<b>intend</b> 34:24	15:9 20:20 22:12,14	<b>limine</b> 8:9 10:12	mildly 23:2
intended 7:1	23:5,16,19 24:1,9	11:14 12:7,8 16:6	<b>mind</b> 9:20 14:14
intention 5:21	24:17 26:2 27:13,25	16:23 38:6,7,8,9,10	17:1
internal 17:15,16	28:7 29:10	<b>limits</b> 19:4,12,13	mineola 39:12
17:25 18:15,23	20.7 27.10	20:1,1	
	VERITEXT REPO	DTING COMPANY	

[minute - protected] Page 5

	1 7 0 16 00		949 14.6
minute 37:18	number 5:9 16:23	opinions 8:24	position 14:6
minutes 7:21 17:16	17:18,18,20 18:16	opportunity 25:6	possible 7:8
mistake 25:24 26:4	18:23 29:13	<b>opposed</b> 4:20 20:7	<b>potential</b> 17:17,18
27:2	numbering 34:6	order 4:13 17:21	33:8
mixing 24:10	<b>ny</b> 3:5 39:12	22:13	potentially 9:21
molded 30:2	0	override 9:18	17:8
moment 9:9	o 1:20 4:1 39:1	overriding 9:19	power 6:8
<b>monday</b> 19:16	objecting 9:24,25	p	practice 6:19
monitor 6:16	10:2	<b>p</b> 3:1,1 4:1	preclude 9:19
monotizing 18:2,24	<b>objection</b> 2:2,3 4:15	pacifica 3:11	precluded 13:25
morning 19:16	21:4 33:24 34:9	page 33:12 38:4	17:4
<b>motion</b> 2:1 10:13,20	35:1,3	papers 12:9,10 13:6	<b>prefer</b> 7:19 37:12
11:2,4,8,14,17	objections 5:17	part 11:10,23 16:3	prejudice 24:19
13:16 16:23 26:3	10:4 33:17 35:21	21:4 22:19,22	29:24
38:6,7,8,9,10	36:9,10,13,14,24	particular 11:23	preliminaries 8:7
<b>motions</b> 8:9,17	<b>obviously</b> 16:5	parties 17:8 19:8	preliminary 8:24
10:12 11:5 12:1,7,8	29:13,17	33:8	<b>prep</b> 28:18
12:14,15,17 16:6	offer 15:24	party 17:20	prepare 29:17
<b>mouth</b> 16:14	offering 11:6 14:14	party 17.20 patience 20:24	prepared 8:10
move 17:21 32:23	15:13	paul 3:18 4:9	12:10
34:4	<b>oh</b> 10:24 12:5 25:14	pejorative 21:18	<b>present</b> 15:8 27:8
n	28:10 29:19	penalty 15:2,18	35:20
<b>n</b> 3:1 4:1 38:1 39:1	okay 4:2,7,11,18	penalty 13.2,18 people 8:5	presentation 35:13
naming 34:5	5:19,23,25 7:24 8:6	perfect 7:17 8:24	preserve 21:4
narrower 13:4	10:7,9,11,24 11:9	31:10,13,17	<b>preserved</b> 8:20 10:6
necessarily 16:19	11:12,21,25 12:13	perjury 15:3,18	presumably 21:17
17:19	12:15,20 14:8,18,21	23:15	30:3
need 7:21 12:22	14:23 15:23 16:16	personal 9:5,20	pretend 9:10
33:20 34:3	16:18,18,20,21,21	10:1 16:2	<b>prior</b> 10:18,19 25:5
needs 19:8	16:23 18:6 19:5	perspective 32:16	25:17,24
negotiation 26:22	20:12,13,14,19	perspective 32.10 pertaining 13:17	probably 16:20
neither 30:13	21:24 22:3,5,18,22	16:24	<b>problem</b> 16:3,15
nervous 31:5	23:7 24:1,4,15	<b>ph</b> 5:11 10:14,15	22:16
never 16:7	25:11,21 26:12,16	12:17 35:20	proceedings 37:20
new 1:2,15,15 3:5	28:22 30:16,22,25	pick 22:7 30:4,5	39:4
13:1,15,22 16:1,1	31:19 32:1,13,13	place 7:20 9:16 19:9	<b>process</b> 15:6 17:21
25:23 26:18		plaintiff's 34:5	18:17,23
	34:1,13,17 35:12,16 35:19 36:4,7,11,15	planning 31:6	progress 7:14
nicely 26:15	, , , ,	1	<b>proof</b> 13:1 14:9
ninety 2:2	36:21 37:3,5,5,8,14	play 19:22 36:1,3	15:12,17,21
non 17:10	old 39:10	playing 35:23	<b>proofs</b> 13:12,17
normal 24:24 25:3	omnibus 2:2,3	pm 1:18 37:20	14:10,22 16:11
26:18 27:9	open 23:24	point 6:24 7:7 17:22	proper 10:4
notebook 31:9	opening 6:12 19:16	20:11,18 21:1,7	proposed 17:15
notebooks 31:20	19:19 31:24	points 8:20,21	protected 17:10
november 1:17	opinion 8:22 11:23	<b>pop</b> 20:10	18:25
39:14	21:16	portions 36:16,18	
		36:19,23	

see 5:14 18:8 19:25 20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23 selling 17:8 sense 11:1 16:18,20 16:21 20:7 37:7 separate 8:24 12:1 sequestered 22:8,9 set 31:19	somebody 14:11,1 15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7 sounds 33:12 sources 9:3 15:8 south 2:25 39:3,7 southern 1:2 speaking 30:25
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23 selling 17:8 sense 11:1 16:18,20 16:21 20:7 37:7 separate 8:24 12:1	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7 sounds 33:12 sources 9:3 15:8 south 2:25 39:3,7 southern 1:2
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23 selling 17:8 sense 11:1 16:18,20 16:21 20:7 37:7	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7 sounds 33:12 sources 9:3 15:8 south 2:25 39:3,7
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23 selling 17:8 sense 11:1 16:18,20	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7 sounds 33:12 sources 9:3 15:8
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23 selling 17:8	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7 sounds 33:12
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23 seize 29:23	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10 sound 33:7
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3 seen 17:1 25:23	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2 sorry 13:7 22:20 28:10
20:8,11 seek 5:20 seeking 13:3	15:16 20:9 <b>someone's</b> 11:2 <b>sorry</b> 13:7 22:20
20:8,11 seek 5:20	15:16 20:9 someone's 11:2
20:8,11	15:16 20:9
	,
see 5:14 18:8 19:25	1 1 4 4 4 4 4
•	solely 18:1
secondary 9:3	smart 9:12 30:3
second 6:2	slides 31:25
seattle 3:15	six 25:22
screens 32:6	<b>situation</b> 16:3 33:7
screen 31:2 32:4,5,8	sit 22:11 28:15 29:
<b>scope</b> 11:7	34:3
schwab 12:25	<b>simply</b> 14:11,12
school 9:10	similar 11:5
scc 1:3	sides 36:8
says 13:16,24 14:8	
19:10	side 6:11
saying 15:8 16:22	snows 32:4 sic 26:19
37:9	shows 32:4
36:8,12,16,20 37:3	35:24
35:12,16,19 36:2,5	short 30.23 show 16:1 32:5
1	short 36:23
/	shifting 26:9
	shifted 25:1
,	shift 25:15
•	shelley 1:21
	<b>shed</b> 19:18
	<b>shared</b> 22:23 36:5
	29:14
	shapiro's 26:24
	28:9,19 29:10
	27:8,12,18,25 28:8
	24:20 25:1,5 26:14
<b>rulings</b> 8:10 38:3	23:25 24:1,5,6,8,1
18:13 26:18 34:10	22:7,7,15 23:9,22
10:19,25 16:10	<b>shapiro</b> 15:5,6 16:
<b>ruling</b> 8:18 10:18	shapes 24:21,21
<b>rules</b> 9:19 27:9	settling 18:22
32:10 37:13	18:18,24 19:3
	<b>settlement</b> 4:10 16:24 17:3,10 18:
	rules 9:19 27:9 ruling 8:18 10:18 10:19,25 16:10 18:13 26:18 34:10 rulings 8:10 38:3 run 6:9 15:6  s s 3:1 4:1 sacrificed 37:17 sake 21:11 saving 21:12 sawyer 4:5 9:24 18:11,12 19:2 20:14 30:20,23 32:18,20 32:25 33:2 34:9,13 34:15,17,21 35:5,9 35:12,16,19 36:2,5 36:8,12,16,20 37:3 37:9 saying 15:8 16:22 19:10 says 13:16,24 14:8 scc 1:3 school 9:10 schwab 12:25 scope 11:7 screen 31:2 32:4,5,8 screens 32:6 seattle 3:15 second 6:2 secondary 9:3 see 5:14 18:8 19:25

[spending - vis] Page 7

spending 6:3	tambe 3:7 4:4,5,17	thing 4:24 6:2 17:9	<b>true</b> 14:9 39:4
spent 8:12 9:2	4:21,23 5:2,5,8,15	29:11	<b>truth</b> 14:14 15:20
spill 8:4	5:21,24 6:13,18,23	things 17:2,6 23:9	16:12
stand 21:2 26:2	7:4,6,11,17,23 8:1	24:7,8 30:21 32:18	try 23:11 24:22,22
start 4:3,12 7:1,8,13	9:23 10:2,6,10	<b>think</b> 6:19 7:4,7,12	32:22 34:18
7:18 8:8 37:16	14:16,19,22,24 15:2	9:3,23 10:22,25	trying 16:5 17:20
starting 6:3,25	15:22,24 16:10	11:1 12:10 14:16	25:7 29:23 35:12
<b>state</b> 4:10	20:13,17,20,23 21:1	15:19,20 18:2,3	tsa 3:12 10:13 14:6
statements 15:2	21:10,13,23,25	19:7,15,24 20:8,15	17:4,17 19:13 22:9
states 1:1 12:24	22:17,19,22,25 23:2	21:14 22:4 24:7	tsa's 17:7 18:23
13:18	23:5,8,14,16,20,22	30:17 32:3,14,15	two 7:1,18 10:12
status 2:1	23:24 24:3,5,12,16	33:23,23 37:12	12:15,16,21 17:2
staying 6:8	24:18,21 25:9,12,19	thinks 8:21 25:14	23:2 31:15 34:21
<b>step</b> 9:9	25:22 26:6,13 27:23	<b>third</b> 17:7,19	type 21:16
stipulation 4:13	28:5 30:15 31:6,8	thoroughly 21:22	u
<b>stood</b> 12:12	31:11,14,18,23 32:3	thought 12:11	
<b>stop</b> 6:4 7:20	32:7,12,14,16	16:22 25:2 36:17	<b>u.s.</b> 1:13,22
stopping 7:12	tech 31:2	three 7:18 12:22	uh 25:14
story 27:22	technology 31:23	14:13,15,22 15:15	understand 4:12
streamline 35:12	32:14	25:8,10	5:3,19,25 11:14
street 3:4	tell 6:6 8:10 19:14	thumb 35:25	13:11 30:14 33:16
stuff 29:18	19:20,24 25:19	thursday 7:20	understanding 33:5
<b>style</b> 16:5,5	telling 33:6	time 5:16 8:12,23	understood 10:18
submissions 8:12	term 23:11,13,14,15	9:2 12:2,14 21:12	11:25 18:13
submit 9:7	termination 4:20	31:24,24 32:11,22	undertaken 18:17
submitted 21:15	13:4 19:9 27:4	34:10 35:20 36:3	undocumented
subsequent 19:11	terms 23:10 31:23	37:8,11,14,17	15:7
<b>suite</b> 3:14 39:11	33:12,16	times 6:3 25:10	unfold 16:9
supposed 9:11,12	test 14:4 20:23	<b>timing</b> 6:16	<b>unique</b> 21:20 24:2 <b>united</b> 1:1
sure 6:20 10:5,10	testify 9:5 24:2,25	<b>tiring</b> 37:14	united 1:1 unrelated 18:1
11:3,19 13:10 18:4	25:4 27:25 28:7	tobacco 4:10	use 10:2 27:5 31:15
18:6,12 29:8,16,25	testifying 12:13	today 4:2 6:1	33:3,4 34:4,24,25
30:22 33:19 36:25	22:10 23:19 34:24	tomorrow 6:3,11,25	35.3,4 34.4,24,23
surrounding 12:5	<b>testimony</b> 9:19,25	7:12,16 31:25 37:19	useful 26:10 36:17
swayer 3:8	10:14,21,23 11:2,6	<b>topics</b> 9:13 10:1	usually 31:1
t	12:18,19 16:8 21:7	totally 17:4 27:6	
t 39:1,1	21:9 22:8,12 24:21	transcribed 2:25	V
take 9:13 12:14	24:22 25:17 27:9,17	transcriber 39:7	v 3:19
19:8 21:2 26:2	30:1 35:21 36:19	transcript 9:7,25	<b>valuation</b> 5:6,10,11
taken 14:7 26:10	thank 7:23 18:9	10:3 36:23 39:3	5:13 13:4 14:3
taki 3:19 4:9	19:2 20:14 21:25	transmitting 13:1	valued 2:3
talk 6:20 12:22	34:7 37:4	treated 27:18	veritext 39:9
16:23 27:11,12,12	theme 22:22	tremendous 31:22	<b>video</b> 35:19,22,25
27:15 28:2,4,6,8,9	<b>theories</b> 25:23 26:9	<b>trial</b> 5:6 8:3,25 9:5	36:5,16,18
talked 29:15	<b>theory</b> 26:19	9:17,18 12:2,11	view 17:12
talking 13:7,11,14	<b>thin</b> 31:4	22:8 28:1 31:21	<b>vis</b> 17:19,19
28:7 35:7		33:8 34:24 36:1	
20 30		LTING COMPANY	

[voir - à]

[von u]	
<b>voir</b> 21:5,6	X
volume 31:3	<b>x</b> 1:4,11 38:1
volumes 31:4	y
W	yeah 5:3 7:4,6 10:22
<b>w</b> 3:7,8	16:17 21:8,11,13
<b>wa</b> 3:15	24:18 25:12 26:6
wait 19:25 20:8	28:11,17 29:19 32:7
23:18	32:19 33:1 34:12,14
waived 36:13	34:16 35:17 37:11
want 6:6,7 10:8	<b>years</b> 19:17
12:9 13:10,16,21	york 1:2,15,15 3:5
20:23 21:8 24:7,13	16:1
27:14,20 31:14	Z
32:22 33:10,14,16 33:16 35:24,25	<b>zero</b> 5:9
wanted 6:2 12:12	à
18:12 35:24 37:9	
washington 4:10	<b>à</b> 17:19
10:13 14:6 17:3,7	
17:17	
way 8:22,23 14:15	
20:3 30:12 34:19	
37:12,13	
we've 7:25 17:4	
20:10 22:13 25:22	
26:10,19 30:24	
32:20 36:5	
<b>wednesday</b> 7:13,14	
7:19 <b>weeks</b> 25:22	
weight 12:20	
witness 7:21 9:1,11	
9:18 16:14,15 25:2	
26:13 31:9,9,20	
33:21 34:23	
witness's 10:1	
witnesses 6:9,10,15	
22:10,14 31:12	
33:17 37:17	
words 19:17 20:6	
works 32:9	
write 8:23	
writes 14:11,12	
wrong 8:21 24:9	
	1